

## THE FLOOR OF THE ARITHMETIC MEAN OF THE CUBE ROOTS OF THE FIRST $n$ INTEGERS

BOONYONG SRIPONPAEW<sup>ⓑ</sup> and SOMKID INTEP<sup>ⓑ✉</sup>

(Received 18 October 2019; accepted 10 November 2019; first published online 8 January 2020)

### Abstract

Zacharias [‘Proof of a conjecture of Merca on an average of square roots’, *Collegae Math. J.* **49** (2018), 342–345] proved Merca’s conjecture that the arithmetic means  $(1/n) \sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt{k}$  of the square roots of the first  $n$  integers have the same floor values as a simple approximating sequence. We prove a similar result for the arithmetic means  $(1/n) \sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt[3]{k}$  of the cube roots of the first  $n$  integers.

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 26D15; secondary 40A25.

*Keywords and phrases*: floor, arithmetic mean, cube roots.

### 1. Introduction

Sums of powers of positive integers have fascinated mathematicians for a long time. In 1631, Faulhaber gave the formula

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^p = \frac{1}{p+1} \sum_{j=0}^p (-1)^j \binom{p+1}{j} B_j n^{p-j+1}$$

for any positive integer  $p$ , where the  $B_j$  are Bernoulli numbers with  $B_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$ . Gould [1] and Merca [2] formulated this sum in term of Stirling numbers,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^p = \sum_{j=0}^p (-1)^{j-1} j \binom{n+p-j}{n} \left[ \begin{matrix} n+1 \\ n-j+1 \end{matrix} \right].$$

Ramanujan [3] gave a formula for the sum of square roots of the first  $n$  integers,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt{k} = \frac{2}{3}n\sqrt{n} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{n} + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{n+j} + \sqrt{n+j+1})^3} - C, \quad C = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j\sqrt{j}}.$$

Shekatkar [4] extended this result to  $r$ th roots,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt[k]{k} = \frac{r}{r+1}(n+1)\sqrt[r]{n+1} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt[r]{n+1} - \phi_n(r),$$

The authors were supported by the Faculty of Science, Burapha University, Thailand.

© 2020 Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc.

where  $\phi_n(r)$  depends on the parameters  $n$  and  $r$  and satisfies  $0 \leq \phi_n(r) < \frac{1}{2}$ . Recently, Wihler [5] gave another expression for this sum,

$$\sum_{k=v}^n \sqrt[r]{k} = \frac{r}{r+1} \sqrt[r]{n+1} \left( n + \frac{1-1/r}{2} \right) - \frac{r}{r+1} \sqrt[r]{v} \left( v - \frac{1+1/r}{2} \right) - \frac{\delta_{v,n,r}}{12r} \tag{1.1}$$

with  $\delta_{v,n,1} = 0$  and  $\sigma_r(v+2, n+2) < \delta_{v,n,r} < \sigma_r(v, n)$  for  $r > 1$ , where

$$\sigma_r(v, n) = \begin{cases} 2 - \frac{1}{r} - n^{-1+1/r} & \text{if } v = 1, \\ (v-1)^{-1+1/r} - n^{-1+1/r} & \text{if } v \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

In [2], Merca established another approximation for the arithmetic mean of the square roots of the first  $n$  integers and conjectured that the floor values of the average and the approximation are the same, that is,

$$\left\lfloor \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt{k} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \left( \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{6n} \right) \sqrt{n+1} \right\rfloor.$$

Zacharias [6] proved this conjecture by constructing a step function which has steps at two types of abscissas depending on numbers modulo 2. In this paper, we present a similar theorem for cube roots.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *For any positive integer  $n$ ,*

$$\left\lfloor \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt[3]{k} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \left( \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4n} \right) \sqrt[3]{n+1} - \frac{1}{4n} \right\rfloor. \tag{1.2}$$

The idea of our proof is to divide  $n$  into nine cases based on numbers modulo 9 and to prove that, in each case, the values on the left- and right-hand sides of Equation (1.2) have the same floor.

### 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

For  $x \geq 1$ , define

$$A(x) = \left( \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4x} \right) \sqrt[3]{x+1} - \frac{1}{4x} \quad \text{and} \quad L(x) = \left( \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4x} \right) \sqrt[3]{x+1} - \frac{11}{36x}.$$

From their derivatives,  $A(x)$  and  $L(x)$  are increasing functions for  $x \geq 1$ .

From (1.1),

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt[3]{k} = \left( \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4n} \right) \sqrt[3]{n+1} - \frac{1}{4n} - \frac{\delta_{1,n,3}}{36n},$$

where  $2^{-2/3} - n^{-2/3} < \delta_{1,n,3} < \frac{5}{3} - n^{-2/3}$  and  $0 < \delta_{1,n,3} < 2$  for  $n > 2$ . Consequently,

$$A(n) > \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt[3]{k} > L(n) \quad \text{for } n > 2.$$

In order to prove the main theorem, we need the following lemmas.

**LEMMA 2.1.**  $S_n = (1/n) \sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt[3]{k}$  is an increasing sequence.

**PROOF.** The inequality  $S_n \leq S_{n+1}$  is equivalent to  $\sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt[3]{k} \leq n \sqrt[3]{n+1}$ . We prove the latter assertion using mathematical induction. The assertion is clear for  $n = 1$ . To show the inductive step, assume that  $\sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt[3]{k} \leq n \sqrt[3]{n+1}$ . Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \sqrt[3]{k} = \sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt[3]{k} + \sqrt[3]{n+1} \leq (n+1) \sqrt[3]{n+1} < (n+1) \sqrt[3]{n+2}.$$

It follows by induction that  $\{S_n\}$  is an increasing sequence. □

For  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $j = 1, 2$ , we define the sets  $B_{j,k}$  as follows:

$$B_{1,k} = \begin{cases} \left[ \frac{64(9k+1)^3 - 37}{27}, \frac{64(9k+2)^3 - 53}{27} \right] \cap \mathbb{N} & \text{if } k = 0, 1, 2, \\ \left[ \frac{64(9k+1)^3 - 37}{27}, \frac{64(9k+2)^3 - 80}{27} \right] \cap \mathbb{N} & \text{if } k \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

$$B_{2,k} = \begin{cases} \left[ \frac{64(9k+2)^3 - 26}{27}, \frac{64(9k+3)^3 - 54}{27} \right] \cap \mathbb{N} & \text{if } k = 0, 1, 2, \\ \left[ \frac{64(9k+2)^3 - 53}{27}, \frac{64(9k+3)^3 - 54}{27} \right] \cap \mathbb{N} & \text{if } k \geq 3 \end{cases}$$

and, for  $j = 3, 4, \dots, 9$ , we define the sets  $B_{j,k}$  by

$$B_{j,k} = \left[ \frac{64(9k+j)^3 - b_j}{27}, \frac{64(9k+j+1)^3 - b_{j+1} - 27}{27} \right],$$

where

$$b_j = \begin{cases} 27 & \text{if } j \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ 2(25 - i) & \text{if } j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3} \text{ and } j = 2^i, \\ j(5 + (-1)^i) & \text{if } j \text{ is prime and } j \equiv i \pmod{3}, 0 < i < 3 \end{cases}$$

and  $b_{10} = 37$ .

The following lemma shows that the classes  $B_{j,k}$  partition  $\mathbb{N}$ . This will allow us to divide the proof of Theorem 1.1 into nine cases.

**LEMMA 2.2.** The set  $\{B_{j,k} \mid 1 \leq j \leq 9, k \geq 0\}$  forms a partition of  $\mathbb{N}$ , that is,

$$\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=1}^9 B_{j,k}, \quad \text{where } B_{j,k} \cap B_{j+1,k} = \emptyset, B_{9,k} \cap B_{1,k+1} = \emptyset.$$

**PROOF.** Notice that

$$\min B_{1,0} = \frac{64(9(0)+1)^3 - 37}{27} = 1$$

and it is easy to check that all the boundary points of the  $B_{j,k}$  are integers. It is obvious from the definition of  $B_{j,k}$  that  $\max B_{j,k} + 1 = \min B_{j+1,k}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq 8$  and  $\max B_{9,k} + 1 = \min B_{1,k+1}$ . Hence,  $\{B_{j,k}\}$  partitions  $\mathbb{N}$ . □

For any  $n \in B_{j,k}$ , to prove that  $S_n$  and  $A(n)$  are in the same interval  $[9k + j, 9k + j + 1)$ , we use the facts that  $L(n) < S_n < A(n)$  and that both  $L(n)$  and  $A(n)$  are increasing sequences. Consequently, for  $n_1 = \min B_{j,k}$  and  $n_2 = \max B_{j,k}$ , it is sufficient to show that  $L(n_1) > 9k + j$  and  $A(n_2) < 9k + j + 1$  by considering the sign of the coefficients of certain Taylor expansions. In the case of  $n \in B_{1,k}$ , however, this technique is not applicable for some numbers  $k$ . For these basic numbers  $k$ , we use a simple direct calculation instead.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Case 1.*  $n \in B_{1,k}$ .

*Case 1.1.*  $k = 0$ . Observe that

$$1 = \frac{64(1)^3 - 3}{27} \leq n \leq \frac{64(2)^3 - 53}{27} = 17.$$

Since  $S_n$  and  $A(n)$  are increasing sequences,

$$1 = S_1 \leq S_n \leq S_{17} \approx 1.9880 \quad \text{and} \quad 1.0099 \approx A(1) \leq A(n) \leq A(17) \approx 1.9894.$$

Hence,  $\lfloor S_n \rfloor = \lfloor A(n) \rfloor = 1$  for  $1 \leq n \leq 17$ .

*Case 1.2.*  $k \geq 1$ . Let

$$n_1 = \frac{64(9k + 1)^3 - 37}{27}.$$

We will show that  $L(n_1) > 9k + 1$ . Substitute  $n_1$  into the following expression and expand it as a Taylor series about 1:

$$\begin{aligned} & (36n_1(9k + 1) + 11)^3 - (n_1 + 1)(27n_1 + 9)^3 \\ &= -140912433282709 - 1163293726126932(k - 1) - 4266503508623472(k - 1)^2 \\ & \quad - 9124406608540608(k - 1)^3 - 12539857656248064(k - 1)^4 \\ & \quad - 11485195678476288(k - 1)^5 - 7010519795527680(k - 1)^6 \\ & \quad - 2750059732008960(k - 1)^7 - 629107509362688(k - 1)^8 \\ & \quad - 63945157902336(k - 1)^9 < 0. \end{aligned}$$

This gives  $36n_1(9k + 1) + 11 < \sqrt[3]{n_1 + 1}(27n_1 + 9)$  and, by a simple calculation,

$$9k + 1 < \sqrt[3]{n_1 + 1} \left( \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4n_1} \right) - \frac{11}{36n_1} = L(n_1).$$

Let

$$n_2 = \begin{cases} \frac{64(9k + 2)^3 - 53}{27} & \text{if } k = 1, 2, \\ \frac{64(9k + 2)^3 - 80}{27} & \text{if } k \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

We will prove that  $A(n_2) < 9k + 2$ . For  $k = 1, 2$ ,

$$(4n_2(9k + 2) + 1)^3 - (n_2 + 1)(3n_2 + 1)^3 = \begin{cases} 26036934837 & \text{if } k = 1, \\ 72986823793 & \text{if } k = 2. \end{cases}$$

Hence,  $(4n_2(9k+2)+1)^3 - (n_2+1)(3n_2+1)^3 > 0$ . For  $k = 3, 4, 5, \dots$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & (4n_2(9k+2)+1)^3 - (n_2+1)(3n_2+1)^3 \\ &= 134154289152k^9 + 279918084096k^8 + 259135635456k^7 + 138919919616k^6 \\ & \quad + 47252865024k^5 + 10507311360k^4 + 1516397696k^3 \\ & \quad + 135961344k^2 + 6837440k + 146656 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$9k+2 > \sqrt[3]{n_2+1} \left( \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4n_2} \right) - \frac{1}{4n_2} = A(n_2).$$

Since  $A(n)$  and  $L(n)$  are increasing, for  $n_1 \leq n \leq n_2$ ,

$$9k+1 < L(n) \leq S_n \leq A(n) < 9k+2.$$

Hence,  $\lfloor S_n \rfloor = \lfloor A(n) \rfloor = 9k+1$  for  $k \geq 1$ .

*Case 2.*  $n \in B_{2,k}$ . Let

$$n_1 = \begin{cases} \frac{64(9k+2)^3 - 26}{27} & \text{for } k = 0, 1, 2, \\ \frac{64(9k+2)^3 - 53}{27} & \text{for } k \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

For  $k = 0, 1, 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & (36n_1(9k+2)+11)^3 - (n_1+1)(27n_1+9)^3 \\ &= -105321436545024k^9 - 200298803429376k^8 - 168848652238848k^7 \\ & \quad - 82685802627072k^6 - 25886537404416k^5 - 5366022080256k^4 \\ & \quad - 735591839616k^3 - 64231766208k^2 - 3238636392k \\ & \quad - 71778682 < 0. \end{aligned}$$

For  $k \geq 3$ , using the Taylor series expansion about 3,

$$\begin{aligned} & (36n_1(9k+2)+11)^3 - (n_1+1)(27n_1+9)^3 \\ &= -20723370376131937 - 95110224549962868(k-3) \\ & \quad - 164307456860697648(k-3)^2 - 152480690365127616(k-3)^3 \\ & \quad - 86582810797661952(k-3)^4 - 31713445228234752(k-3)^5 \\ & \quad - 7563596651458560(k-3)^6 - 1139310460403712(k-3)^7 \\ & \quad - 98738846760960(k-3)^8 - 3761479876608(k-3)^9 < 0. \end{aligned}$$

As in Case 1, this gives  $9k+2 < L(n_1)$ . Let

$$n_2 = \frac{64(9k+3)^3 - 54}{27}.$$

We claim that  $A(n_2) < 9k + 3$ . Substituting  $n_2$  into the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} & (4n_2(9k + 3) + 1)^3 - (n_2 + 1)(3n_2 + 1)^3 \\ &= -80244904034304k^{11} - 285315214344192k^{10} - 460995415769088k^9 \\ & \quad - 446505462595584k^8 - 287877045288960k^7 - 129650051727360k^6 \\ & \quad - 41596420313088k^5 - 9502311626496k^4 - 1513938218112k^3 \\ & \quad - 160144814016k^2 - 10118551896k - 289206316 < 0 \end{aligned}$$

as before, this gives  $A(n_2) < 9k + 3$ . Thus, for  $n_1 \leq n \leq n_2$ ,

$$9k + 2 < L(n) \leq S_n \leq A(n) < 9k + 3.$$

Hence,  $\lfloor S_n \rfloor = \lfloor A(n) \rfloor = 9k + 2$ .

*Case 3.*  $n \in B_{3,k}$ . Let

$$n_1 = \frac{64(9k + 3)^3 - 27}{27}.$$

By direct calculation,

$$\begin{aligned} & (36n_1(9k + 3) + 11)^3 - (n_1 + 1)(27n_1 + 9)^3 \\ &= -101559956668416k^9 - 294335800344576k^8 - 378655640911872k^7 \\ & \quad - 283684804374528k^6 - 136343850006528k^5 - 43577890742016k^4 \\ & \quad - 9259059419712k^3 - 1260645061680k^2 - 99771741900k \\ & \quad - 3496110625 < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $9k + 3 < L(n_1)$ . Let

$$n_2 = \frac{64(9k + 4)^3 - 73}{27}.$$

As before, we obtain  $A(n_2) < 9k + 4$  and conclude that  $\lfloor S_n \rfloor = \lfloor A(n) \rfloor = 9k + 3$ .

For the remaining cases, we use arguments similar to those in Case 3 to show that

$$\lfloor S_n \rfloor = \lfloor A(n) \rfloor = 9k + j \quad \text{for } j = 4, \dots, 9. \quad \square$$

### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Vorrapan Chandee and Detchat Samart for useful suggestions which improved the presentation of the paper. We are also grateful to the anonymous referee whose feedback helped us improve the exposition of the paper.

### References

- [1] H. W. Gould, 'Evaluation of sums of convolved powers using Stirling and Eulerian numbers', *Fibonacci Quart.* **16** (1978), 488–497.
- [2] M. Merca, 'On the arithmetic mean of the square roots of the first  $n$  positive integers', *College Math. J.* **48** (2017), 129–133.

- [3] S. Ramanujan, 'On the sum of the square roots of the first  $n$  natural numbers', *J. Indian Math. Soc.* **7** (1915), 173–175.
- [4] S. Shekatkar, 'The sum of the  $r$ th roots of the first  $n$  natural numbers and new formula for factorial', Preprint, 2013, arXiv:1204.0877.
- [5] T. P. Wihler, 'Rounding the arithmetic mean value of the square roots of the first  $n$  integers', Preprint, 2018, arXiv:1803.00362.
- [6] J. Zacharias, 'Proof of a conjecture of Merca on an average of square roots', *College Math. J.* **49** (2018), 342–345.

BOONYONG SRIPONPAEW, Department of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Science, Burapha University, Thailand  
e-mail: [boonyong@buu.ac.th](mailto:boonyong@buu.ac.th)

SOMKID INTEP, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,  
Burapha University, Thailand  
and  
Center of Excellence in Mathematics, CHE, Bangkok 10400, Thailand  
e-mail: [intep@buu.ac.th](mailto:intep@buu.ac.th)